

R E M A R K S

Applicant thanks the Examiner or consideration of the present application.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner objected to claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §112 because there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation of "said second multi-cast data.." in line 15.

To overcome the Examiner's objection, claim 5 has been amended as follows:

(1) replace "said multi-cast data" in step 3) with --the multi-cast data of said step 1);

(2) replace "said second multi-cast data" in step 4) with --the multi-cast data of said step 2).

Further, claim 5 has been amended to replace "multi-casting the data" in steps 1) and 2) with --multi-casting data--.

The amendment to claim 5 is fully supported by the application as originally filed. No new matter has been introduced by way of the amendment.

It is respectfully submitted that claim 5 complies with 35 U.S.C. §112.

The Examiner objected to claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. §112 because there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation of "the line code" in line 3.

To overcome the Examiner's objection, claim 6 has been amended by replacing "the" before "line code" with --a--. No new matter has been introduced by way of the amendment.

It is respectfully submitted that claim 6 complies with 35 U.S.C. §112.

In view of the above amendments and remarks and having dealt with all the objections raised by the Examiner, reconsideration and allowance of the application is courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,



John D. Harris
Registration No. 39,465

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP
160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1P 1C3
(613) 233-1781